



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/720,045 | | 12/18/2000 | Jacques Bauer | GEI-084 | 6820 | |
| 20311 | 7590 | 09/02/2004 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| | | LUCAS AND | RUSSEL, J | RUSSEL, JEFFREY E | | |
| 475 PARK A | | SOUTH 0016 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | • | | | 1654 | | |

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/720,045 | BAUER ET AL. | | | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Jeffrey E. Russel | 1654 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | dress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 08/18/04 and 8/27/04 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | | |
| | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) A The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of | | | | | | | |
| b) Light The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | | | | | |
| 2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b | ecause: | | | | | | |
| (a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance | ling a corresponding number of | finally rejected clai | ms. | | | | |
| NOTE: See attachment. | | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ction(s): | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely file | d amendment | | | | |
| 5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se | r reconsideration has been cons ee attachment | sidered but does No | OT place the | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | ere newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w | t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided bele |)∏ will be entered ow or appended. | and an | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: None. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: 5-8. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 4 and 16-31. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app | roved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | , we are the (-). | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/720,045

Art Unit: 1654

1. The proposed amendment filed August 18, 2004 will not be entered because of word-

Page 2

processing errors at page 6 of the amendment. Applicants re-submitted the amendment on

August 27, 2004.

The proposed amendment filed August 27, 2004 will not be entered because the amendment is not in proper format under 37 CFR 1.121. Firstly, the proposed amendments to both the specification and the claims appear to be based upon the specification and claim amendments contained in the proposed amendment filed July 14, 2004. However, this amendment was not entered, and will not be entered even upon the filing of a Notice of Appeal. The markings for any amendments must be based upon the specification and claims at the time of the final Office action. Secondly, Applicants appear to use brackets to show deletions of chemical formulas from claims 16, 21, 23, 32, and 33. However, 37 CFR 1.121(c)(2) requires that strikethrough be used to show deletions from the text of a claim. Thirdly, the status identifier used for claim 19, "currently presented", is not permitted by 37 CFR 1.121(c).

- 2. There was no response to the requirement set forth in section 2 of the final Office action.
- 3. The proposed new Brief Description of the Drawings filed August 27, 2004 raises the same new matter issue as is set forth in the Advisory action mailed July 27, 2004, section 3.
- With respect to section 5 of the final Office action, there was no response to the objection to claim 17, line 1, concerning "compositions", and there was no response to the objection to claim 23, line 4, concerning the comma after "atoms".
- 5. In addition to those objections and rejections which would have remained from the final Office action, Applicants' proposed amendments to the claims filed August 27, 2004 raise the following new issues: At proposed claim 16, page 6, line 3, "several" should be deleted. At

Application/Control Number: 09/720,045

Art Unit: 1654

Page 3

proposed claim 21, page 8, line 8, "dihydroxyphosphoryloxy" should be changed back to "dihydroxyphosphonyloxy". At proposed claim 21, page 8, line 9, "dimethoxyphosphoryl" should be changed back to "dimethoxyphosphonyl". There is no support for the new substituent listed at proposed claim 21, page 8, line 13. The limitation "in need of an immune response" at proposed claim 31, lines 2-3, raises new issues requiring further consideration and/or search, it being much narrower in scope than the previous limitation "in need of immune modulation". Proposed claim 32 raises issues under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, because it purports to be drawn to a method of obtaining a compound of formula I according to claim 21, but formula I in claim 32 is defined differently than formula I in claim 21. At proposed claim 32, "dihydroxyphosphoryloxy" should be changed back to "dihydroxyphosphonyloxy" and "dimethoxyphosphoryl" should be changed back to "dimethoxyphosphonyl". There is no support for the new substituent listed at proposed claim 32, page 12, line 7. Proposed claim 32 is indefinite because there is no "q+1" position in the diamino acid. In proposed claim 32, page 13, lines 1 and 8-9 should be omitted as being redundant. Proposed claim 33 raises the same new issues as does proposed claim 32. At proposed claim 33, page 17, line 7, "integer" is misspelled.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey E. Russel at telephone number (571) 272-0969. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

Art Unit: 1654

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Bruce Campell can be reached at (571) 272-0974. The fax number for formal communications to be entered into the record is (703) 872-9306; for informal communications such as proposed amendments, the fax number (571) 273-0969 can be used. The telephone number for the Technology Center 1600 receptionist is (571) 272-1600.

Jeffrey E. Russel

Page 4

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1654

JRussel

August 31, 2004